

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLIČATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/494,837	01/31/2000	Boney Mathew	0153.00084	4020
75	90 11/12/2002			
Amy E. Rinaldo Kohn & Associates 30500 Northwestern Highway			EXAMINER	
			AFTERGUT, JEFF H	
Suite 410 Farmington Hills, MI 48334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
i diminigion imi	10, 1111 1000 1		1733	21
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/494,837	MATHEW ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	tion. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 22-26.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1, 8, 9, 14,	<u>18-21</u> .					
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-				
10. Other:						
		Jeff H. Affergut Primary Examinel Art Unit: 1733				

Application No. 09/494,837

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The broadening out of the claim to recite that the coating was merely a dispersion and not a fluorocarbon dispersion would require reconsideration of other prior art wherein dispersions of non-fluorocarbon material are applied and thus creates new issue requiring further search and/or consideration. Also, it is not at all clear why the proposed amendment was not earlier presented. The claims remain rejected for the same reasons as presented in the FINAL rejection. Applicant has failed to evidence that the two dipmethod would have produced a materially different end product from that of Green wherein the fibers were coated with the dispersion prio to the braiding operation.